#### **CETIFICATION**

SDG No:

MC46783

Laboratory:

**Accutest, Massachusetts** 

Site:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release

Assessment, Humacao, PR

Humacao, PR

Matrix:

Groundwater

**SUMMARY:** 

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Phase 2A Release Assessment Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken July 6-7, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC46783. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MAPED EPH, Massachusets Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
	DESCRIPTION		
MC46783-1	OSGP6-GWS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46783-2	OSGP6D-GWS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46783-3	OSGP8-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46783-4	OSGP8-GWS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46783-4D	OSGP8-GWS MSD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46783-4S	OSGP8-GWS MS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46783-5	OSGP1-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges

LIC. # 188

591659

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

**Chemist License 1888** 

Signature:

Date:

July 22, 2016

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP6-GWS
Lab Sample ID:	MC46783-1
Matrix:	AO - Ground Wa

ater MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Date Sampled: 07/06/16 07/08/16 Date Received:

Method: Project:

Percent Solids: n/a

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Run #1	File ID DE14798.D	DF 1	Analyzed 07/11/16	By TA	Prep Date 07/08/16	Prep Batch OP48098	Analytical Batch GDE823
Run #2							

Kuii #2		
	Initial	Volume

850 ml

Final Volume 2.0 mI

Run #1 Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.9	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.9	0.42	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.9	0.68	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.9	0.36	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.9	0.34	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.9	0.53	ug/i	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.9	0.44	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.9	0.42	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.9	0.51	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.9	0.46	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.9	0.39	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.9	0.47	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.9	0.34	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.9	0.53	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.9	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.9	0.36	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.9	0.70	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	37.4	120	34	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	25.3	120	20	ug/l	JВ
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	36.1	120	32	ug/l	ĴΒ
	C11-C22 Aromatics	36.6	120	34	ug/l	JB

Run#1

62%

76%

45%

82%

Run#2

Limits

40-140%

40-140%

40-140%

40-140%



CAS No.

84-15-1

321-60-8

3386-33-2

580-13-2

Surrogate Recoveries

o-Terphenyl

2-Fluorobiphenyl

1-Chlorooctadecane

2-Bromonaphthalene

ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP6D-GWS
Lab Sample ID:	MC46783-2
	1000

Initial Volume

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: 07/06/16 Date Received: 07/08/16

Matrix: Method:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Final Volume

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Ву File ID DF Analyzed Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 DE14799.D 1 07/11/16 TA 07/08/16 OP48098 **GDE823** Run #2 a DE14822.D 1 07/13/16 TA 07/08/16 **GDE825 OP48098** 

Run #1 Run #2	890 ml 2.0 ml 890 ml 2.0 ml					
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.6	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.6	0.40	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.6	0.65	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a) pyrene	ND	5.6	0.33	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.50	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.6	0.42	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k) fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.40	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.6	0.49	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.44	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.38	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.6	0.45	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.6	0.33	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.87	5.6	0.51	ug/l	JB
91-20-3	Naphthalene	2.2	5.6	1.1	ug/l	JB
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.6	0.67	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	43.4	110	32	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	24.4	110	19	ug/l	JB
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	35.7	110	30	ug/l	JB
	C11-C22 Aromatics	40.3	110	32	ug/l	ĴΒ
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	59%	47%	40-1	40%	
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	81%	46%	40-1	40%	
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	34% b	43%		40%	
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	89%	5% b		40%	
(a) C = -8						



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

<sup>(</sup>a) Confirmation run.

<sup>(</sup>b) Outside control limits due to possible matrix interference. Sample results confirmed by refractionation/reanalysis.

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

	Client Sample ID:	OSGP8-GWD
Ì	Lab Sample ID:	MC46783-3
ı	Matriv	AO Cround W

Initial Volume

AQ - Ground Water

Date Sampled: 07/06/16 Date Received: 07/08/16

Method:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Final Volume

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE14800.D	1	07/11/16	TA	07/08/16	OP48098	GDE823
Run #2	a DE14823.D	1	07/13/16	TA	07/08/16	OP48098	GDE825

Run #1 Run #2	880 ml 2.0 ml					
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL,	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.7	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.7	0.40	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.7	0.66	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.7	0.34	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.7	0.33	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.51	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.7	0.42	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.40	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.7	0.49	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.7	0.44	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.38	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.7	0.45	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.7	0.33	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.7	0.51	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.7	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.7	0.35	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.7	0.68	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.1	110	33	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	26.6	110	19	ug/l	JВ
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	41.5	110	31	ug/l	ĴΒ
	C11-C22 Aromatics	39.5	110	33	ug/l	JB
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	53%	42%	40+1	40%	
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	77%	59%	40-1	40%	
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	29% b	28% b	40-1	40%	
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	86%	62%		40%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

<sup>(</sup>a) Confirmation run.

<sup>(</sup>b) Outside control limits due to possible matrix interference. Confirmed by refractionation/reanalysis.

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

	_
Client Sample ID:	OSGP8-GWS
Lab Sample ID; Matrix:	MC46783-4
Matrix:	AO - Ground V

**Ground Water** 

Date Sampled: 07/07/16 Date Received: 07/08/16

Method:

MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C

Percent Solids: n/a

Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

File ID DF Analyzed Ву Prep Date Prep Batch **Analytical Batch** Run #1 DE14801.D 1 07/11/16 TA 07/08/16 OP48098 **GDE823** 

Run #2

	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	860 ml	2.0 ml

Run #2

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.8	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.8	0.41	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.8	0.67	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.8	0.35	ug/l	
50-32-8	Вепхо(а) ругепе	ND	5.8	0.34	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.8	0.52	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.8	0.43	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.8	0.41	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.8	0.50	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.8	0.45	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.8	0.39	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.8	0.46	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.8	0.34	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.61	5.8	0.53	ug/l	JB
91-20-3	Naphthalene	1.3	5.8	1.1	ug/l	JB
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.8	0.35	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.8	0.70	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	44.8	120	33	ug/l	JВ
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	26.4	120	19	ug/l	JB
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	44.0	120	31	ug/l	JB
	C11-C22 Aromatics	42.9	120	33	ug/l	JB
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	68%		40-1	40%	
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	74%		40-1	40%	
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	47%		40-1	40%	
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	83%		40-1	40%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value

RL = Reporting Limit

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

# Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP1-GWD
Lab Sample ID: Matrix:	MC46783-5
Matrix:	AO - Ground W

Vater MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C Date Sampled: 07/07/16 Date Received: 07/08/16

Method: Project: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Initial Volume Final Volume

Percent Solids: n/a

Run #1 Run #2 ª	File ID DE14802.D DE14824.D	<b>DF</b> 1	Analyzed 07/11/16 07/13/16	By TA TA	Prep Date 07/08/16 07/08/16	Prep Batch OP48098 OP48098	Analytical Batch GDE823 GDE825
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Run #1 Run #2	880 ml 2.0 ml 880 ml 2.0 ml					
CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.7	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.7	0.40	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.7	0.66	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.7	0.34	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a) pyrene	ND	5.7	0.33	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b) fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.51	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.7	0.42	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.40	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.7	0.49	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.7	0.44	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.38	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.7	0.45	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.7	0.33	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.7	0.51	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	ND	5.7	1.1	ug/l	
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.7	0.35	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.7	0.68	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.4	110	33	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	24.7	110	19	ug/l	JB
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	51.5	110	31	ug/l	JB
	C11-C22 Aromatics	38.0	110	33	ug/l	JB
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run#1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	44%	54%	40-1	40%	
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	70%	82%	40-1	40%	
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	34% b	27% b	40-1	40%	
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	75%	91%	40-1	40%	



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

J = Indicates an estimated value B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

<sup>(</sup>a) Confirmation run.

<sup>(</sup>b) Outside control limits due to possible matrix interference. Confirmed by refractionation/reanalysis.

Page 1 of 1

Job Number: MC46783

AMANYWP Anderson Mulholland and Assoc.

Account: Project:

BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sample         File ID         DF           OP48098-MS         DE14803.D         1           OP48098-MSD         DE14804.D         1           MC46783-4         DE14801.D         1	07/11/16 T 07/11/16 T	Prep Date O7/08/16 O7/08/16 O7/08/16 O7/08/16	Prep Batch OP48098 OP48098 OP48098	Analytical Batch GDE823 GDE823 GDE823
--	--------------------------	---	---	--

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1

MC46783-1, MC46783-2, MC46783-3, MC46783-4, MC46783-5

74%

76%

83%

40-140%

CAS No.	Compound	MC46783- ug/I Ç		Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/i	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND		58.1	39.4	68	58.1	39.3	68	0	40-140/25
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND		58.1	37.9	65	58.1	37.4	64	1	40-140/25
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND		58.1	42.0	72	58.1	40.7	70	3	40-140/25
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND		58.1	47.1	81	58.1	46.5	80	1	40-140/25
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		58.1	48.8	84	58.1	48.3	83	1	40-140/25
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		58.1	47.1	81	58.1	45.7	79	3	40-140/25
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		58.1	50.3	87	58.1	49.9	86	1	40-140/25
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		58.1	47.0	81	58.1	45.2	78	4	40-140/25
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND		58.1	46.3	80	58.1	46.0	79	1	40-140/25
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		58.1	50.7	87	58.1	50.4	87	1	40-140/25
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND		58.1	44.5	77	58.1	44.0	76	1	40-140/25
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND		58.1	39.3	68	58.1	38.8	67	1	40-140/25
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		58.1	48.4	83	58.1	47.7	82	1	40-140/25
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.61 J	B :	58.1	36.1	61	58.1	35.1	59	3	40-140/25
91-20-3	Naphthalene	1.3 J	B :	58.1	35.1	58	58.1	32.9	54	6	40-140/25
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND		58.1	40.3	69	58.1	40.0	69	1	40-140/25
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND		58.1	44.1	76	58.1	43.5	75	1	40-140/25
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	44.8 JI	B !	930	806	82	930	786	80	3	40-140/25
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	26.4 J	B :	349	271	70	349	258	66	5	40-140/25
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	44.0 Jl	B 4	465	453	88	465	427	82	6	40-140/25
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	]	DZM	MC	46783-4	Limits				
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	67%		67%	68%		40-140%	á		ocupo)	
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	75%	1	77%	74%		40-140%	á	WE IS		
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	54%		50%	47%	5	40-140%	5 /	3	7	



2-Bromonaphthalene

580-13-2

<sup>\* =</sup> Outside of Control Limits.

ACCUTEST			CHA	IN OF CUSTODY												P	AGE	4	_0	F_				
NE			SO (FAngelo D	00-481-42	ng One, M	501.40	N MA	01752						U44	160	566	701	_	F	Order C				
				999	-		*****		_						-				$\Box$		M	CY	61	783
Client / Reporting Information	Proper Name		Project	t Inform	atlon	1000	664	N. D.	1	Ships		3,57		Re	quest	nd An	alyala	1800	TEST	CODE	E phont	1	100	Matrix Codes
Complete Harris													1		1									
Anderson Stutholiand & Associates Sinel Address	BMSMC Phy	<del>ne 2A Release</del>	Azzaszmen	rt					_						1				1	1			1	DW - Driving Water DW - Oround Water
	Street			develope to		-	and the same		ED)		100	900	4	1	Į								1	WW - Water SW - Surface Water
2780 Westchester Avenue, Suite 417 Chy State 20	Cay		Seen	Compa	inderent Ny Idama	ber ( il ald	orani i	Harris R.	-	t toj		_	1 =	1		1	1		1			1	1	SO - Bod St. Shrips
Purchasa NY 10577	Humacao		PR	'-	,								1 ₹	1	1	1	1							SED-Seatment
Project Contact. E-mail	Project 8			Street A	dimes		_		_				¥		1		1		i i		Ī	1		OI - OF UG - Other Liquid
Terry Taylor	021												1		1		1			1				ARI - Ari SCL - Other Seles
Plane of Tax 8	Charte Purchasia	Order 8		City				2 100			Ζp			Į.	ı		1		1			Į	1	WP-Mps
914-251-3400 Serutetti Nemetsi Phone #	Project Manage			Alternio									Arpmetics	1	ı		1	ĺ	1					FIR-Flotd Blant. EB Equipment Blanc.
N. Rivera, R. Stuart, R. O'Railly, T. Taylor	Terry Taylor			-	TT.								}		]		1	1	1			1		RS-Rinse (tern. TS-Trio Black
THE PROPERTY OF LIGHT CONTRACT OF THE PARTY	TOTAL TOTAL		Callectes	_			_	Mumbe	n of a	-	Bette		B	Ŧ	1		1	1	1	1	1	1		
11					Ī		П	. [.	Ţ		1.1	¥T			ĺ		ŀ	1	1	1				
Field ID / Point of Collection	MECHADI VALLE		Time	87	Motor		121		8	315	ş	8	5	¥	l		ı	1	[					LAB USE ONLY
-1 OSGP G - GWS		7/6/16	1020	TI	GW	2	1,1		Ħ	╗	Ħ	1	Х	х			1-	+	$\vdash$	┰	+-	<del>                                     </del>		
-2 OSGP 6D-6WS		7/6/16	1045	TT	GW	2	2	П		+	H	+	X	X	$\vdash$	1	$\vdash$	+	-	+	$\vdash$		$\vdash$	
-3 OSGP 8 - GWD		7/11/16	1340	7-	GW	,	1	H	7	-	H	+	X	X			+	1	-	$\vdash$	┼─	<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$	<del></del>
( 05GP 6 - 6 WS		7/2/16	1000	1	GW	1	+	+	+	+	H	+	Ŷ	Ŷ	$\vdash$		├-	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	₩	⊢	⊢		<u> </u>
-Y OSGP SMS - GWS		7/7/16	1030	慧	GW	2	3	╌┼╌┤	+	+	$\vdash$	+	Ŷ	÷	-	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	╀	-	+	$\vdash$	<b>├</b>	$\vdash\vdash$	<u> </u>
OSGP &MSD-GWS		7/7/16	1100	77	GW	2	1	╫	+	┿	Н	+	Ŷ	Ŷ	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	├	-	╀	├─	-	$\vdash$	
- OSGPI-GWD						Ť	-		+	+	╟┼	+		_		$\vdash$	-	├	⊢	₩	₩	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	16B
-1 030L -0MD		7/7/16	1330	TT	SW	_2_	2	╢	4	+	Н	+		X		<u> </u>	$\vdash$	┡	$\vdash$	┡	₩	Ш	$\square$	
				_			Н	#	4	-	Н	-			$\vdash$	_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		_			$\square$	
							Н	┦	4	44	Н	$\perp$	Ш			_	<u> </u>	_	L	<u> </u>	igsquare	Ш	$\square$	
				Ш			H	11	4	Н	4	$\perp$				_	_			_	$\square$	$\square$		
	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN						Ц	Щ	4		Ц.	Ш		_				L_				Ш		
	v1. v.				28	Giolai-		瞳			围		200	100		200	Balai	響	Bing	PART .	開設	100	150	
Turneround Time ( Business days)  Sh4. 16 September Days	-								infor				district	STATE OF	No.			Com	monte.	/ Speci	gi imetrus	clions		
Std. 10 Stationest Days (by Contrast enly)	parirant By (Adopt	oor Pf0); / (hater				martin Marita			닏	∃"		Cabo		1				LASE			12	1		ſ
18 Day RUSH						Lavel 344			F		ito fo	_	-7-				ILLIA		~~	ENI		4		
□ I Day RUSH					L2 Realized				Ĕ	<u> </u>				[							. 4	91	_	- 1
1 Day EMERGENCY		- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	1		-				_	] 0#	<u> </u>		_			L	ABEI	. VER	(IFIC	ATIO				
19ay EMERGENCY			1			Commerci Commerci								- 1	_			_						
Emergaçoy & Rusti TIA data avadable VIA Lucileix						NJ Reduc							Row dat	[										
Bank to be a part of the same to the same	Sen	ple Custody su	at be docum		law sact	time as			_	00000	s lon,		وو وهلك		deliver	Y	-			Sextiel	Sec.	SEC.	Bigging.	
· Nan Adoler 7/7/	16 1700	FO	EX				2	nated 0	TE C			7	F.	X	ľ	フ-	5	16	2	el Byc J	3-		. م	0
State quantum by Santaphary Code Times	!	Investment By:					-	1	ly:			-				170	-		Parameter,	a By:	البتامير			
Came State		S Spention of By:					<del>-</del>	, 144,0	_		_					4 mbg			4		Date		Contro T	
				-			36	3	2	366	_	8 ,	ted tenner						_		27	Sil	ê.	₹.59€

MC46783: Chain of Custody
Page 1 of 2

#### **EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE**

SDG No:

MC46783

Laboratory: Accutest, Massachusetts

Analysis:

MADEP EPH

Number of Samples: 7

Location:

BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY:

Seven (7) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues:

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

**Critical findings:** 

None

Major findings:

None

Minor findings:

1. Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Analytes detected in sample batch above MDL but below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the results as JB, no further

qualification required.

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

July 22, 2016

Date:

# SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC46783-1

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/6/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.9	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	37.4	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	25.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	36.1	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	36.6	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/6/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Acenaphthylene	5.6	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes	
Anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	_	Ü	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.6	_	1	_	U	Yes	
		ug/l		_	_		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Chrysene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.87	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
Naphthalene	2.2	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.6	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	35.9	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	49.6	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics	39.6	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/6/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lah Elan	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	5.7	ug/l	1	ran i iag	U	Yes	
•				-	_		
Acenaphthylene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Chrysene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Naphthalene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	41.1	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	26.6	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	41.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics	39.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/7/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.8	ug/l	1	_	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.8	ug/l	1	44	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.61	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
Naphthalene	1.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	54.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	32.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	42.9	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	53.8	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/7/2016 Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units	<b>Dilution Factor</b>	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable	
Acenaphthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Acenaphthylene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	บ	Yes	
Anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Chrysene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluoranthene	5.7	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes	
Fluorene	5.7	ug/!	1	-	U	Yes	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Naphthalene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
Phenanthrene	5.7	ug/i	1	-	U	Yes	
Pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	39.4	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C9-C18 Aliphatics	24.7	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C19-C36 Aliphatics	51.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	
C11-C22 Aromatics	38.0	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes	

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/7/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units I	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	39.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Acenaphthylene	37.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Anthracene	42.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	47.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	48.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	47.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	50.3	ug/l	1	-	100	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	47.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Chrysene	46.3	ug/l	1	**	100	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	50.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluoranthene	44.5	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluorene	39.3	ug/l	1		-	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	48.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	36.1	ug/l	1	В	-	Yes
Naphthalene	35.1	ug/l	1	В	-	Yes
Phenanthrene	40.3	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Pyrene	44.1	ug/i	1	-	-	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	806	ug/l	1	В	-	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	271	ug/l	1	В	-	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	453	ug/l	1	В		Yes

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/7/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

Analyte Name	Result	Units I	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	39.3	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Acenaphthylene	37.4	ug/l	1	-	_	Yes
Anthracene	40.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	46.5	ug/i	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	48.3	ug/l	~ 1	25	-	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	45.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	49.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	45.2	ug/l	1	2,7	-	Yes
Chrysene	46.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	50.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluoranthene	44.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluorene	38.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	47.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	35.1	ug/l	1	В	-	Yes
Naphthalene	32.9	ug/l	1	В	-	Yes
Phenanthrene	40.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Pyrene	43.5	ug/i	1	-	-	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	786	ug/l	1	В	-	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	258	ug/l	1	В	-	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	427	ug/l	1	В		Yes

## **DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS**

Type of validation	Full:X Limited:	Project Number: MC46783
REVIEW OF EXT	RACTABLE PETROLE	EUM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE
validation actions. This more informed decision were assessed according precedence METHOD HYDROCARBONS (EP (2004). Also the gener Support Section. The Q	document will assist the n and in better serving to the data validation FOR THE DETERNITH), Massachusetts Depart validation guidelines	le organics were created to delineate required reviewer in using professional judgment to make the needs of the data users. The sample results on guidance documents in the following order of MINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM artment of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes ation actions listed on the data review worksheets is otherwise noted.
The hardcopied (labor received has been review for SVOCs included)	ratory name) _Accutes ewed and the quality con ded:	t_Laboratories data package strol and performance data summarized. The data
No. of Samples:	7	Sample matrix:Groundwater
Trip blank No.:	-	46783-2
Field duplicate No.:	MC46783-1/ MC	46783-2
X Data Complet X Holding Times N/A GC/MS Tuning N/A Internal Stand X Blanks X Surrogate Rec X Matrix Spike/N	s g ard Performance coveries	X Laboratory Control Spikes X Field Duplicates X Calibrations X Compound Identifications X Compound Quantitation X Quantitation Limits
Overall _Extractable_Petroleum (C9_to_C36_Aliphatics;	_Hydrocarbons_by_GC _C11_to_C22_(Aromatic	Comments: _by_Method_MADEP_EPH,_REV_1.1
Definition of Qualifiers:		
J- Estimated resul U- Compound not of R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nond Reviewer: A Cut Date: 07/22/2018	detected	

	Criteria were no	All criteria were metx t met and/or see below
I. DATA COMPLETNE A. Data Packag		
MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
B. Other		Discrepancies:

All criteria were metX	<u> </u>
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

#### **HOLDING TIMES**

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE	DATE	DATE	ACTION			
	SAMPLED	EXTRACTED	ANALYZED				
Samples	Samples extracted and analyzed within method recommended holding time						
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				

### Criteria

### Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at 4 + 2 °C immediately after collection.

### Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

Cooler temperature	(Criteria: 4 + 2 °C):	5.6°C	
--------------------	-----------------------	-------	--

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ). If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R). If samples were not at the proper temperature (> 10°C) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

	All criteria were metX						
	Criteria were not met and/or see below						
CALIBRAT	IONS VERIFIC	ATION					
	at the instrum		nstrument calibration producing and mai				
Dat	e of initial calib	ration:06/22	/16				
Dat	es of initial cali	bration verification:	06/22/13				
Inst	rument ID num	bers:GCD	E				
Mat	trix/Level:	_AQUEOUS/MEDIUI	M				
DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r				
	iD#		KES, 70KSD, 70D, 1	AFFECTED			
ĺ	nitial and conti	nuing calibration me	et method specific requ	uirements			

#### Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest.
   When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
  - o The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
  - The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

#### Criteria- CCAL

 At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and

#### DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

- at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than ±25%, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

### Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

#### CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	_06/22/16
Dates of continuing calibration verification:	_07/11/16;_07/13/16
Dates of final calibration verification:	_07/11/16;_07/13/16
Instrument ID numbers: GCDE	· ·
Matrix/Level:_SOIL/AQUEOUS/MEDIUM	

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
	Initial and conti	nuing calibration me	et method specific req	uirements

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

		Criteria		and/or see belo	
V A. BLANK ANA	LYSIS RESULT	S (Sections	1 & 2)		
The assessment of magnitude of contain blanks associated with any evaluated to determine case, or if the problem Method Blank must determine if sample	nination problem ith the samples blanks exist, all ine whether or r em is an isolate be run after sa	is. The crite including to data associot there is a discourrence imples susp	ria for evaluat ip, equipment ciated with the an inherent va e not affecting	ion of blanks a t, and laborator e case must t priability in the o g other data. A	oply only to y blanks. If he carefully data for the Laboratory
List the contamination separately.	on in the blanks	below. High	and low leve	els blanks must	be treated
Laboratory blanks					
DATE LA ANALYZED	B ID LEVI	<del>-</del>	POUND	CONCENTRA' UNITS	TION
_METHOD BLANKS _CASES_DESCRIB _07/11/16OP480	ED_IN_THIS_DO	DCUMENT. us/lowC1 C9- C1! C1- 2-N	1-C22_Aroma C18_Aliphatio -C36_Aliphat 1-C22_Aroma lethylnaphthal	_	0.4_ug/l 25.5_ug/l_ 9.7_ug/l 8.0_ug/l 0.65_ug/l_
Note: Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Analytes detected in sample batch above MDL but below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the results as JB, no further qualification required.					
Field/Trip/Equipment	t				
DATE LA ANALYZED	B ID LEVE MATI		POUND	CONCENTRA' UNITS	TION
_NO_TRIP/FIELD/E	QUIPMENT_BL/	ANKS_ANAI	YZED_ASSO	OCIATED_WITH	I_THIS
***************************************					

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met and/or see below	

# V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

### Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

	All criteria were met	
Criteria were	not met and/or see below	X

#### SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery. Matrix: solid/aqueous

Samples and QC shown here apply to the above method

Lab	Lab				
Sample ID	File ID	S1 a	S2 a	S3 b	S4 a
MC46783-1	DE14798.D	62	76	45	82
MC46783-2	DE14822.D	47	46	43	5* c
MC46783-2	DE14799.D	59	81	34* c	89
MC46783-3	DE14823.D	42	59	28* d	62
MC46783-3	DE14800.D	53	77	29* d	86
MC46783-4	DE14801.D	68	74	47	83
MC46783-5	DE14824.D	54	82	27* d	91
MC46783-5	DE14802.D	44	70	34* d	75
OP48098-BS	DE14795.D	71	75	55	74
OP48098-BSD	DE14796.D	60	70	45	71
OP48098-MB	DE14797.D	69	75	57	71
OP48098-MS	DE14803.D	67	75	54	74
OP48098-MSD	DE14804.D	67	77	50	76
Surrogate	Recov	ery			
Compounds	Limits	•			
S1 = o-Terphenyl	40-14	0%			
S2 = 2-Fluorobipheny	/l 40-140	0%			
S3 = 1-Chlorooctade	cane 40-140	<b>)</b> %			
S4 = 2-Bromonaphth	alene 40-140	0%			

<sup>(</sup>a) Recovery from GC signal #1

Note: SURROGATE STANDARDS RECOVERIES WITHIN LABORATORY CONTROL LIMITS EXCEPT FOR THE CASES DESCRIBED IN THIS DOCUMENT. NO ACTION TAKEN PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT.

<sup>(</sup>b) Recovery from GC signal #2

<sup>(</sup>c) Outside control limits due to possible matrix interference. Sample results confirmed by refractionation/reanalysis.

<sup>(</sup>d) Outside control limits due to possible matrix interference. Confirmed by refractionation/reanalysis.

#### DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 40% or more than 140%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met and/or see below

## VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- Matrix duplicate Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.</p>

MS/MSD Recov	venes and Precision Cr	iteria			
Sample ID:	MC46783-4			Matrix/Level:_	Groundwater_
List the %Rs, R	PD of the compounds	which do no	t meet t	he QC criteria.	
MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION
				,	
	·			·	

Note: MS/MSD analyzed with this sample batch. MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits. No action taken.

		Crit	eria were r	All criteria not met and/or see	were metN/A
informed profess conjunction with a data. In those in affect only the sa However, it may to	n on MS/MSD resional judgment, too ther QC criteria a stances where it ample spiked, the pe determined through the anales.	ne data and dete can be o qualifica augh the	reviewer r rmine the i determined tion should MS/MSD re	may use the MS need for some quality that the results to be limited to the esults that the lab	/MSD results in palification of the of the MS/MSE is sample alone oratory is having
2. MS/MSD -	- Unspiked Compo	ounds			
	ations of the unspile unspiked sample				
COMPOUND	CONCENTRA SAMPLE	ATION MS	MSD	%RPD	ACTION
Criteria: None spe	ecified, use %RSD	≤ 50 as	profession	al judgment.	
Actions:					
If the % RSD is r	), qualify the result not calculable (NC sional judgment to	) due to	nondetect	value in the san	

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

11

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
	VIII.	LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS
matric		ata is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various
	1.	LCS Recoveries Criteria
		List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria
LCS I	)	COMPOUND % R QC LIMIT ACTION
_LCS	RECO	OVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMTS
	Criteria	Refer to QAPP for specific criteria.  The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%.
		s on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds re outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the excedance of
the as: If the ' for the If more qualify	sociated %R of the affected than the social section in the social	he analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in d samples and accept nondetects. he analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects d analyte in the associated samples. half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, sitive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the mples.
2.	Freque	ency Criteria:
oer ma f no, t he eff	atrix)? <u>Y</u> he data ect and	nalyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples 'es or No.  may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples uss the actions below:

		Crite	All crite eria were not met an		e metX below	
IX. FIELD/LAE	BORATOR	Y DUPLICATE PR	ECISION			
Sample IDs:	MC4678	3-1/ MC46783-2	Matrix:_	Gro	undwater	
Field/laboratory duplicates samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only aboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.						
COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION	
Field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. RPD within laboratory and validation guidance document control limits (+ 50 %) for analytes detected at a concentration > SQL.						

#### Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information. RPD  $\pm$  30% for aqueous samples, RPD  $\pm$  50 % for solid samples if results are  $\geq$  SQL. If both samples and duplicate are  $\leq$  SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

### Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is  $\geq 5x$  the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

**Note:** If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met and/or see below

#### XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

- 1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
  - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH
     Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
  - o The n-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
  - o All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
  - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
  - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.
- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
  - o Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
  - o Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

- Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
  - o Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(q,h,i)perylene.
  - Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

Comments: Not applicable.

		Criteria we	All criteria w re not met and/or s	rere metX see below
2.	If target analytes a laboratory resubmit	and/or TICs were not con the corrected data.	rectly identified, r	equest that the
3.	evaluated for potent % recovery of the fit basis by quantifying and aromatic fraction naphthalene or 2-n the total concentrations.	mination - Each sample ial breakthrough on a sample ractionation surrogate (2-bit naphthalene and 2-methylons of the LCS and LCSE nethylnaphthalene in the ation for naphthalene or a tion must be repeated on the total concentral methylnaphthalene in the summation of the concentral	ple specific basis be romonaphthalene) ylnaphthalene in be considered. If either the considered fraction 2-methylnaphthal all archived batch the LCS/LCSD parent parent be considered.	oy evaluating the and on a batch oth the aliphatic oncentration of exceeds 5% of ene in the LCS h extracts.  The product of the extracts of extracts or extracts the extracts or extracts the extracts or extracts.
		aliphatic fraction and the aromatic fraction.		
		entration_in_the_aliphatic_f naphthalene_and_2-methy		
4.	containing 14 alkaneach constituent. The fractionation efficient optimum hexane volume allowing significant allowing in the fractional contained conta	ck Standard – A fraction es and 17 PAHs at a nome Fractionation Check Solution of each new lot of silical dume required to efficiently eant aromatic hydrocarbonationation check solution, between 40 and 140%. A 3	ninal concentration ution must be used a gel/cartridges, a elute aliphatic hydan breakthrough. For excluding n-nona	of 200 ng/µl of d to evaluate the nd establish the lrocarbons while or each analyte ne, the Percent
	ls a fractionation che	eck standard analyzed?		Yes? or No?

	All criteria were met _	_X
Criteria were not	met and/or see below	

## XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample?

Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

MC46783-1

EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics)

RF = 124800

[] = (1983744)/(124800)

[] = 15.90 ppb Ok

MC46783-1

EPH (C19 – C36, Aliphatics)

RF = 77820

[] = (1193593)/(77820)

[] = 15.34 ppb Ok

## **DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS**

- 2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
- 3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	CTOR REASON FOR DILUTION		
	1 00001			

If dilution was not performed, affected samples/compounds:	results	(J)	for the	affected	compounds.	List the
		_				